UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,922	01/03/2005	Christopher William Murray	BJS-620-354	7727
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 05/16/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			TUCKER, ZACHARY C	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/519,922	MURRAY ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zachary C. Tucker	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
This application is abandoned in view of:	outo on the dover onest wan and o	on coponacinos adarcos				
This application is abandoned in view of.	This application is abandoned in view of.					
<ul> <li>1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>08 November 2007</u>.</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ul>						
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:						
Examiner Tucker contacted applicants' counsel, Mr. B.J. Sadoff, on 8 <sup>th</sup> May 2008, to inquire as to whether or not a						
reply to the Office action of 8 <sup>th</sup> November 2007 had beer filed. Mr. Sadoff replied that he did not know, and told the examiner that he would contact him when he located the file. On Monday, 12 May, Examiner Tucker contacted Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraminimize any negative effects on patent term.	could not locate the file, but case" if he needed to. Exa Sadoff that this Notice wou					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20080512				

/Zachary C. Tucker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624